

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/PF/D/1 UDP –Policy D1 General Design Considerations IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 9.1 –9.4, pages 138-139	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows: POLICY D1 Add to the end of criterion (7) AND PROVIDE FOR ACCESS FOR THOSE WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/1
SD– SD/PF/D/2 UDP – Para 9.32 IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 9.5-9.6, Page 139	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A
SD– SD/PF/D/3 UDP –Para 9.38 IR – Policy Framework Paras 9.7-9.9 pages 139-140	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/D/4 UDP – Paragraph	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of paragraph 9.44a and its replacement with the paragraph as drafted on page 15 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/2

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
9.44a IR – Policy Framework paras 9.10-9.11, page 140-141			
SD – SD/PF/D/5 UDP – Para 9.52e IR – Policy Framework paras 9.12 – 9.13 page 141	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of paragraph 9.52e and its replacement with the paragraph as drafted on page 15 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, subject to the substitution of the word “routes” for “outes” within the first bullet point.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/3
SD – SD/PF/D/6 UDP – Policy D8 Public Art IR – Policy Framework paras 9.14-9.15 page 141-142	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/D/7 UDP – Policy D10 Environmental Improvement of Transport Corridors	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Policy Framework paras 9.16 – 9.18 page 142			
SD – SD/PF/D/8 UDP – Policy D11 Gateways IR – Policy Framework paras 9.19 – 9.22 pages 142-143	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of Policy D11 and paragraph 9.81, and by their replacement with the policy and paragraph as drafted on page 16 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/4
SD – SD/PF/D/9 UDP – Policy D12 IR – Policy Framework paras 9.23-9.25 pages143-144	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of Policy D12 and its replacement with the policy as drafted on pages 16 and 17 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, subject to “affect” being replaced by “effect” in the last line of criterion (9).	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/5
SD – SD/PF/D/10 UDP – Para 9.92b IR – Policy Framework paras 9.26-9.27 page 144	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of paragraph 9.92b and its replacement with the paragraph as drafted on page 17 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/6

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD – SD/PF/D/11 UDP – Policy D14 IR – Policy Framework paras 9.28-9.29 pages 144-145	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/D/12 UDP – Para 9.101 IR – Policy Framework para 9.30 page 145	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	N/A
SD – SD/PF/D/13 UDP – Paragraph 9.109 IR – Policy Framework paras 9.32-9.33 pages 145-146	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the inclusion in paragraph 9.109 of the words “and mobile ‘A’ hoardings” after the words “poster advertisements/hoardings” in the first line.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/7
SD – SD/PF/D/14 UDP – Para 9.113 IR – Policy Framework	I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of paragraph 9.113 and its replacement with the paragraph as drafted on page 17 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, subject to the deletion of the words “supplemented by Circular 04/99 ‘Planning for Telecommunication’”.	Decision : Accept Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report	Mod/P F/D/8

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
para 9.34-9.35 pages 146-147			
<p>SD – SD/PF/D/15</p> <p>UDP – Policy D16 & para 9.116 Telecommunications</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework paras 9.36-9.44 pages 147-149</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of Policy D16 and its subsequent justifying paragraphs, and their replacement by Policy D16 and its subsequent justifying paragraphs as drafted on pages 18 and 19 of the proposed changes, dated January 2003, subject to the following:</p> <p>[a] The paragraph following criterion (2) of Policy D16 should be amended to read:</p> <p>DEVELOPERS WILL HAVE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE AVAILABILITY AND SUITABILITY OF ALTERNATIVE SITES AND DEVELOPMENTS, INCLUDING MAST SHARING, HAVE BEEN INVESTIGATED.</p> <p>[b] Paragraph 9.119 should be amended to reflect the fact that the current advice in PPG8 incorporates the recommendations of the Stewart Report on the precautionary principle. Reference should also be made to the Council's preparation of Supplementary Planning Guidance on telecommunications and its register of telecommunications sites.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report</p>	Mod/P F/D/9
<p>SD – SD/PF/D/16</p> <p>UDP – POM 37 The Use of Down lighting on All Roads</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework para 9.45-9.46 page 149</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report</p>	N/A

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD – SD/PF/D/17</p> <p>UDP – POM 59 Designing Out Crime in Housing Development</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 9.47-9.48 page 150</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>SD – SD/PF/D/18</p> <p>UDP – POM 62 Walking & Cycling in Housing Development</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 9.49-9.50 page 150</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>SD – SD/PF/D/19</p> <p>UDP – POM 64 Green Ribbons in Residential Development</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 9.51-</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.</p>	<p>Decision : Accept</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report</p>	<p>N/A</p>

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 9 Design

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
9.52 page 151			